

## Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 088740

10

ORIGIN ERDA-07

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-07 NSAE-00 TRSE-00

EUR-12 ISO-00 EA-06 MC-02 /035 R

DRAFTED BY ERDA:JFKRATZ:ERS

APPROVED BY EB/ITP/EWT:RWPRACHT

DEFENSE-LJAMES

COMMERCE-JDYTRT

RPE

----- 065410

R 172346Z APR 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION OECD PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 088740

EXCON

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM

SUBJECT: COCOM LIST REVIEW: IL 1088

REF: OECD PARIS 5495

1) IN RECORD OF DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM AS SUMMARIZED IN REFTEL, IT APPEARS THE JAPANESE AGREEMENT COULD BE SECURED IF THE GEAR MODULE WERE CHANGED FROM 0.5 MM TO 0.05 MM AND THE CLASS FROM 7 TO 6. SINCE THE US HAS ALREADY AGREED IN ROUNDS 1 AND 2 TO THE UK PROPOSAL TO GO FROM CLASS 7 TO 6, IT WOULD APPEAR THAT THE ONLY QUESTION TO BE RESOLVED IS CHANGING THE MODULE FROM 0.5 MM TO 0.05 MM.

2) UPON FURTHER EXAMINATION OF THE JAPANESE POSITION, AS WE UNDERSTAND IT AND AS STATED IN PARA 1 ABOVE, WE HAVE COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THERE IS NOTHING TO PRECLUDE THE JAPANESE FROM EXPORTING GEARMaking MACHINERY WHICH  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 088740

CAN PRODUCE GEARS OF A MODULE FINER THAN 0.5 MM SO LONG AS THE CLASS OFGEARS IS NO BETTER THAN CLASS6. AS WE INTERPRET SUB-ITEM (C) AND AS THE PAST RECORD OF THIS ITEM INDICATES (WITH ONE EXCEPTION), BOTH SPECIFICATIONS

OF SUB-ITEM (C), THAT IS, MODULE AND CLASS, MUST BE EXCEEDED BEFORE THE EQUIPMENT IS EMBARGOED. IF ONLY ONE SPECIFICATION IS EXCEEDED AND NOT THE OTHER, THEN THE EQUIPMENT IS NOT EMBARGOED AND CAN BE SHIPPED. THEREFORE, IF THE JAPANESE EQUIPMENT CANNOT PRODUCE GEARS BETTER THAN CLASS 6 (WHICH THE US HAS ALREADY AGREED TO), THEY CAN WITHOUT FURTHER CHANGE TO THE DEFINITION SHIP EQUIPMENT MAKING GEARS WITH A MODULE FINER THAN 0.5 MM. IF HOWEVER, THE INTENT IS TO SHIP EQUIPMENT THAT CAN PRODUCE GEARS WITH A MODULE FINER THAN 0.5 MM AND A CLASS BETTER THAN 6, THEN OBVIOUSLY THE MATTER HAS NOT YET BEEN RESOLVED.

3) THE ONE EXCEPTION TO THE RECORD OF THE INTERPRETATION OF THIS SUB-ITEM IS SET FORTH IN THE LAST SENTENCE OF PARA 4, COCOM DOC REV (71)1088/2, MARCH 3, 1972. WE BELIEVE THE STATEMENT SET FORTH HERE IS INCORRECT GIVEN THE FACT THAT THE REMAINDER OF THE RECORD ON IL 1088 DOES NOT SUPPORT THIS POSITION.

4) ACTION REQUIRED: IF USDEL AGREES WITH THE FOREGOING, BELIEVE IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO BILATERAL WITH THE JAPANESE TO SEE IF THEIR POSITION CAN BE ACCOMMODATED WITH NO FURTHER MODIFICATIONS TO SUB-ITEM (C) AS OUTLINED IN PARA 2 ABOVE. IF SO, WE BELIEVE THIS ITEM CAN BE DISPOSED OF AT THIS TIME WITHOUT FURTHER DISCUSSION IN ROUND 3. PLEASE ADVISE. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 26 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** EXCEPTIONS LIST, IL 1088, MEETINGS, REORGANIZATIONS, STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 17 APR 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GarlanWA  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE088740  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** ERDA:JFKRATZ:ERS  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 XGDS-1  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** D750136-0274  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197504103/baaaahhj.tel  
**Line Count:** 84  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN ERDA  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GarlanWA  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 30 MAY 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** WITHDRAWN <20 MAY 2003 by BoyleJA, 3.4.X9>; RELEASED <30 MAY 2003 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <02 JUN 2003 by GarlanWA>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> sph 971124  
**Subject:** n/a  
**TAGS:** ESTC, COCOM, ESTC  
**To:** OECD PARIS  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006